

<b>Submitting Officer</b>		
<b>Shoulder No/Name:</b> P5787 [REDACTED]	<b>Station:</b> Reading	<b>LPA:</b> Reading

<b>Incident References</b>	
<b>Premises Name/Location:</b>	Daniel Convenience Store (Todays express), 17 Duke Street, Reading, RG1 4SA
<b>Incident Date:</b>	23 <sup>rd</sup> August 2018
<b>Incident Time:</b>	Approx 1520-1530
<b>Command &amp; Control URN:</b>	N/A
<b>Crime Report(s):</b>	TBC
<b>CCTV Seized?</b>	Requested
<b>Sources of Information:</b>	Joint TVP, RBC Trading Standards Test Purchase operation

**Nature of Incident – what happened?**

During a test purchasing operation a young 15 year old male member of staff served two children (YP01 and YP02) under the age of 18 with alcohol having not asked to see or checked any identification.

During the sale an adult male member of staff identified as the deputy manager was stood next to the child (his son), and on one occasion the adult member of staff asked the test purchaser "Would you like a bag" to carry the alcohol!

The 15 year old staff member served YP02 1 x bottle of Bulmers (abv 4.0%), and YP01 with 4 x cans of high strength Mocne Debowe (abv 7.0%).

Street drinkers also seen purchasing alcohol throughout the process.

**Premises Response – what part did staff play? How did they react/assist (include good/poor performance)?**

Staff did not provide any reason or excuse for the sale except that the member of staff was the son of the deputy manager and it was stated that in his eyes the child did not make the sale. His father stated that he was there to oversee any sales and therefore in his opinion he had authorised each sale himself meaning that he not the child committed the offence.

The deputy manager stated he thought both YP01 and YP02 were over 25 years old.

The deputy manager was initially obstructive and refused to provide the attending Police Officers with his Sons details. He also attempted to state that he sold the alcohol and not his son (lied), however he changed this version when he was challenged over the fact that the CAP officer had witnessed the incident, and was forced to show the CCTV

For information the CCTV timings were one hour behind so the incident is seen at 1420 on their system..

**Police Response – what action was taken? Please identify the main officers who dealt with the incident.**

Data protection CCTV request form served on 29<sup>th</sup> August 2018 to the Deputy manager (staff member on duty) who stated the footage would be ready for collection after 5<sup>th</sup> September 2018 as the DPS was away and only he knew how to use the system without breaking it or losing the footage.

PND for consideration to be served when it is determined who committed the offence ie Deputy manager or his Son, NB it is believed that the deputy manager does not have a PL and his Son had no authorisation from the DPS.

Decision to be made by TVP and RBC regarding further action.

**Persons Involved - to add more rows click into the final cell of this table**

Name	Date of Birth	Role	Action Taken	Ref No. (e.g. Custody, PND etc)
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Seller	TBA	TBA
[REDACTED]	Deputy Mngr	Authorised sale?	PND TBC?	TBA


**When complete, please forward to the Licensing Officer for the area (and anyone else as per local instructions)**